PROCEEDINGS OF THE BROWN COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE

Pursuant to Section 19.84 Wis. Stats., a regular meeting of the **Brown County Public Safety Committee** was held on Wednesday, June 2, 2010 in Room 200 of the Northern Building – 305 East Walnut Street, Green Bay, WI

Present:

Patrick Buckley, Tim Carpenter, Tom DeWane, Dave Kaster,

Excused:

Andy Nicholson

Also Present:

Tom Hinz, Jayme Sellen, Cullen Peltier, Don Hein, Shelly Nackers,

John Zakowski, Susan Tilot, John Lampkin, Sheriff Kocken, Captain Deneys. Supervisors Andrews, Scray, Schuller, Zima.

Other Interested Parties and News Media.

I. Call meeting to order.

The meeting was called to order by Chair De Wane at 5:00 p.m.

II. Approve/Modify Agenda:

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to approve the agenda. <u>MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY</u>

III. Approve/modify minutes of May 5, 2010.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to approve. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

Communications

1. Communication from Supervisor De Wane – Review with possible action to move the 911 Communication Center under the control of the Brown County Sheriff.

Supervisor DeWane had asked if anyone present had anything they wanted to discuss regarding this issue but noted that with the advise of Board Attorney Mohr there had been a decision made to proceed with the way the 911 Communication Center is being operated right now.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to table. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Communication from Supervisor Scray re: With fears of revenue from the State and Federal sources being cut, I am asking each Department Head to decide ahead of time where they could cut another 10%, if needed, while doing their budget process. This may include mandated services that department heads feel are not beneficial to County and the penalties are not severe.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to hold item till Supervisor Scray arrives. <u>MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.</u>

Supervisor Scray stated that she had met with Executive Hinz with regards to this communication and that they had another meeting scheduled in July. Scray is looking at having department heads figure out where they can cut an additional 10%, or an amount they can agree on, before it hits the board floor at budget time. It's basically a plan of

attack so that if the state revenue and federal government dollars are cut it can be quickly determined where each department would cut if they had to. She is looking at making this part of the budget process. Scray stated that last year two weeks before budget they noticed that \$500,000 wasn't coming in so Executive Hinz cut it from non-mandated programs in the Human Services budget. As a Board they went back and cut from every department. Cutting on the budget floor can and always had been done but it would be nice to know ahead of time what the department's recommendations would be.

The other aspect of it is the mandated services. Money comes from the State and Federal Government to do certain programs/services and then money gets cut and the county still has to pay for the mandated programs. Scray stated Board Attorney Mohr is looking to find the answers regarding do we have to do these programs and to what the extent. Also, what would happen if they aren't done? Scray is not stating that we shouldn't do mandated services but noted that they have to start looking at these things because of how often it happens. If Brown County had to do everything the State and Federal Government mandates why not just let the State and Federal Government mandate everything to the county.

Supervisor Kaster questioned if there was a list out there of all the mandated services, DeWane suggested contacting department heads to see if they have information of what mandated services are within their departments.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to receive and place on file. <u>MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY</u>

#2a Communication from Supervisor Fewell – To send a resolution to the State of Wisconsin supporting and requesting the funding of the special drug prosecutor in the D.A. Office.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Carpenter to hold for one month. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

District Attorney

3. Monthly drug criminal complaint numbers (standing item).

D.A. Administrative Supervisor Susan Tilot provided a handout (attached) re: May 2010 Drug Numbers. She stated the numbers were run June 1, 2010 and asked if the committee would prefer to receive this information in the packet in which she could adjust the dates to the week prior for next month. The consensus of the committee felt that that would be a good idea.

Motion made by Supervisor Buckley and seconded by Supervisor Kaster to receive and place on file. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

4. Grant Application Review (#10-13): Crime Prevention Foundation Grant.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to hold item till D.A. representation arrives. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

Tilot felt that in receiving this grant and purchasing new video equipment they would be saving money and could potentially increase revenues. She noted that it would also allow them to be more lean and economical and it would also bring down their professional service account which is an area that they are currently above where they should be.

Motion made by Supervisor Carpenter and seconded by Supervisor Kaster to approve. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

Sheriff

5. Crimemapping Presentation.

Sheriff Kocken introduced Captain Keith Deneys who leads the Support Services Division of the Sheriff's office and he had taken on the responsibility for crime mapping in their office. Kocken stated that in the past the crime mapping had been woefully inadequate. What occurred was the Sheriff's department was able to see another agency's, the Green Bay Police Departments, crime mapping and were able to piggyback off of them but are now jointly working together. He stated by taking this route it was saving the county a ton of money.

For this demonstration, Deneys logged onto the Brown County website, www.co.brown.wi.us and referred to the Crime Mapping page under the Sheriff's Department (see attached). Deneys had stated that they were contracted through Corona Solutions which uses Ops Force, a flexible and comprehensive solution to assist with police operations management. Ops Force discovers patterns and trends, delivers actionable knowledge, and develops optimal deployment scenarios for patrol operations. A key component to efficient police operations management is having the right information at the right time. Ops Force provides the necessary means to gather intelligence and present it in concise reports and analysis, allowing you to produce a plan of action based on historical, current and projected demands for service.

After the demonstration was received Sheriff Kocken stated there will be a media release on Friday letting the public know about this website so they are able to check out information about their own neighborhoods, etc.

Kocken commented that the information for this website comes from the Communication Center and the website is only as good as the information that is provided. He wanted to commend the staff stating they are doing an outstanding job.

Motion made by Supervisor Buckley and seconded by Supervisor Kaster to receive and place on file. <u>MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY</u>

6. Budget Status Financial Report for April 2010.

Kocken referred to the information in the packet and stated that their revenue totals are at 33% which is right on top of things and expenditures are 2% under.

Motion made by Supervisor Buckley and seconded by Supervisor Kaster to receive and place on file. <u>MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY</u>

Supervisor Carpenter arrived at 5:21 p.m.

7. Key Factor Report June 2010 and Jail Average Daily Population by Month and Type for the Calendar Year 2010.

Kocken referred to the information in the packet (pg. 7) and stated the year to date average population is 660, compared to last years 725. He stated however they have been increasing in the last few weeks but the pod had still remained closed.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to receive and place on file. <u>MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY</u>

8. Grant Application Review (#10-10): U.S. 41 Speed and Aggressive Driving Patrol.

Sheriff Accountant Don Hein stated that items 10 and 13 were related. Item #8 is the application for the \$25,000 grant to be used for extra patrols on US41 for summer months. Item #10 is the request to apply for the grant and #13 is the actual budget transfer.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to take items 8, 10 & 13 together. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to approve items 8, 10 & 13. <u>MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY</u>

9. Budget Adjustment Request (#10-44): Increase in expenses with offsetting increase in revenue.

Hein stated a donation was made from the Sam's Club foundation to purchase a thermal imaging night vision camera for the Boat Patrol and this item is adjusting the budget to show the money coming and that it was spent for the camera.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Carpenter to approve. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

10. Budget Adjustment Request (#10-47): Interdepartmental reallocation or adjustment.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to take items 8, 10 & 13 together. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to approve items 8, 10 & 13. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

11. Budget Adjustment Request (#10-50): Increase in expenses with offsetting increase in revenue.

Motion made by Supervisor Carpenter and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to approve. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

12. Budget Adjustment Request (#10-54): Increase in expenses with offsetting increase in revenue.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to approve. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

13. Budget Adjustment Request (#10-56): Increase in expenses with offsetting increase in revenue.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to take items 8, 10 & 13 together. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to approve items 8, 10 & 13. <u>MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY</u>

14. Sheriff's Report.

Kocken referred back to item #7 under Overtime Statistics and noted that the overtime expenditures for 2010 though April was \$355,412. If you compare that to prior year overtime of \$513,978 they are \$158,566 less which is very good news. He stated a lot of it had to do with the number of prisoners they have.

Motion made by Supervisor Buckley and seconded by Supervisor Kaster to receive and place on file. <u>MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY</u>

Public Safety Communications

15. Budget Status Financial for March & April 2010.

Public Safety Communications Interim Director Shelly Nackers informed they were on scale with the budget.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to receive and place on file. <u>MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY</u>

16. Resolution from the City of DePere re: Opposition to Placing the Brown County Joint Communications Center under the Authority of the Brown County Sheriff and letter from DePere Fire Chief Robert Kiser.

DeWane stated that this issue had been taken care of but had asked if anyone had any comments.

Nackers provided a handout from Dane County that the Chief had asked her to distribute. She stated Dane County had an oversight board and the Chief felt the information provided would be helpful in establishing an oversight board.

Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to receive and place on file. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

17. Grant Application Review (#10-12): HS Law Enforcement Command Radio Grant (2007).

Emergency Management Director Cullen Peltier informed that 17 & 18 went together. He explained that #17 was to purchase a VHF trunk radio for their emergency operation center which will operate on a new state wide VHF trunk system. Item #18 is the budget adjustment for the grant and there is no match for this grant as well.

Motion made by Supervisor Buckley and seconded by Supervisor Carpenter to take items 17 & 18 together. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

18. Budget Adjustment Request (#10-49): Increase in expenses with offsetting increase in revenue.

Motion made by Supervisor Buckley and seconded by Supervisor Carpenter to approve items 17 & 18. <u>MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY</u>

19. Budget Adjustment Request (#10-52): Increase in expenses with offsetting increase in revenue.

Motion made by Supervisor Carpenter and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to approve. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

20. Director's Report

Nackers provided handouts (attached) re: Director's Report June 2nd, 2010 and spoke briefly with regards to it. An Overtime Trend 2004-2009 handout was provided (attached) in which she stated she brought it forward again for the new members to review. Nackers noted that in the packet were status reports from GeoComm on the Radio Interoperability Project for the committee to review for an item to be discussed at next months meeting.

Motion made by Supervisor Carpenter and seconded by Supervisor Kaster to receive and place on file. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

Circuit Courts

21. Budget Status Financial Report for April 2010.

Motion made by Supervisor Buckley and seconded by Supervisor Kaster to receive and place on file. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

22. Quarterly Report of Brown Co. Security/Incident Review Committee.

Motion made by Supervisor Carpenter and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to receive and place on file. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

Clerk of Courts

23. Budget Status Financial Report for April 2010.

Motion made by Supervisor Buckley and seconded by Supervisor Kaster to receive and place on file. MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

Medical Examiner - No agenda items

Other

24. Audit of bills

Motion made by Supervisor Carpenter and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to pay the bills. <u>MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY</u>

25. Such other Matters as Authorized by Law: None


Motion made by Supervisor Kaster and seconded by Supervisor Buckley to adjourn at 5:55 p.m. <u>MOTION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY</u>

Respectfully submitted,


Alicia A. Loehlein Recording Secretary

	May	2010	Demo	grap	hics	
			Age			
17 & younger	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 or older
0	20	24	8	6	0	0
		(Gender			
Male	Female					
41	17					
			Race			
W	В	Н	Α	ı	U	
36	16	2	0	4	0	
Attorney Assigned						
10000			Other	igned		
WWL	SEB	ARP	Other 1	:		
28	10	19		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L
		Ca	se Typ	е		
CF			CM			CV
38			17			3

May 2010 Drug Cases Age Range



May 2010 Drug Cases Gender




0 ⋖ 0 エ CŪ 16 \mathbf{m} 36 ⋛

May 2010 Drug Cases Race

3

May 2010 Drug Cases Charged by Attorney



 \gtrsim CMR

May 2010 Drug Cases Case Type

3

) (II DIUY OIIAIYES I IIEU III MAY 2010	ב ב ב ב	May 6	20	
Dofondont	Date of Rirth	Š	0000	77	Attorney	Case		i
Deletinani		Yac	Lace	Address	Assigned	nation	Charges	Disposition
							PWI/D THC (1000-2500g)-	
: :			,	1032 S 15th Street			PTAC	
1 Eric Pozorski	5/17/1988	≥	≥	Manitowoc, WI 54220	ARP	10CF	Poss THC	
							PWI/D THC (1000-2500g)-	
							PTAC	
				3204 Fleetridge Court			MDTP	
2 Nicole Pozorski	11/15/1985	ட	≥	Manitowoc, WI 54220	ARP	10CF	Poss Cocaine	
				2618 University Ave			PDP	
3 Robert L Verhagen	6/28/1990	Σ	≥	Green Bay, WI 54302	WWL	10CF0486	Fel BJ	PH 5/20/10
							PWI/D THC (>200-1000g)-	
							on or near a school	
							Poss THC-on or near certain	
				1703 Gross Ave			places	
4 Joshua J Loefller	12/14/1978	≥	≥	Green Bay, WI 54304	WWL	10CF0488	MDTP	PH 5/20/10
							Del Cocaine (>5-15g)-PTAC-	
				1344 Day Street			3cts	
5 Michael Pina	3/4/1977	⋝	工	Green Bay, WI 54302	WWL	10CF0489	PDP	Warrant
							Del Sched IV Drugs-PTAC	
				1848 Verlin Road #12			(Lorazepam)	
6 Matthew J Shanley	6/5/1974	Σ	≥	Green Bay, WI 54302	ARP	10CF0492	PDP	IA 5/25/10
				1835 Harold Street			Poss Narc Drugs-2nd & subs	
7 Walter J Gant	5/6/1960	Σ	മ	Green Bay, WI 54301	SEB	10CF0496	(Methadone)	AIA 5/28/10
							Obstructing	
				1978 Schanock Drive #15			Att Obtain Control Subst by	
8 Kevin D Smith	6/16/1969	≥	≥	Green Bay, WI 54303	ARP	10CF0502	Fraud (Vicodin)	AIA 6/8/10
							PDP-PTAC-rptr	
							TOSS INC-ZIIG & SUDS-PIAC-	
				0 20000			rptr	
M dttch O	40/4/4006	2	X	W8391 Cty Hwy C	0	100000	Manut I HC (<=200g)-2nd &	011507140
9 Matthew M Demeny	10/1/1800	≥	3	Fourid, VVI 34 10 1	AKP	1007003	subs-rptr	PH 3/2// 10
							First Degree Reckless Homicide Del Drugs	
							(Methadone)	
				325 Chicago Street			Poss THC	
10 Sara M Stacie	9/13/1984	ட	≥		WWL	10CF0506	PDP	Arr 6/24/10

	Date of				Attorney	Case		
Defendant	Birth	Sex	Race Add	Address	Assigned	Number	Charges	Disposition
					·		PWI/D THC (<=200g) PWI/D Other Sched I Control Subst (>10g-<50g) (MDMA) PWI/D Non-narcs	
11 Bryan M Saldana	5/11/1990	Σ	>	3727 Champeau Road New Franken, WI 54229	ARP	10CF0509	(Alprazolam) PWI/D Narcs (Morphine) Misd BJ	PH 5/17/10
12 Brandon J Webb	3/10/1988	Σ	മ	2209 Nick Lane Green Bay, WI 54311	SEB	10CF0512	10CF0512 Poss THC-2nd & subs-rptr	IA 6/3/10
13 Roy Gail	12/17/1976	Σ	В	1837 Western Ave Apt D Green Bay, WI 54303	ARP	10CF0513	Manuf or Del Prescript Drug (Tramadol)	IA 6/3/10
14 Timothy M Sees	3/16/1971	Σ	≥	13216 Hickory Grove Maribel, WI 54227	SEB	10CF0514	Poss THC-2nd & subs PDP	Pending
15 Kelly J Baker	7/2/1979	Σ	മ	425 5th Street Green Bay, WI 54304	WWL	10CF0515	Del Cocaine (>1g but <=5g)- PTAC	Pendina
16 Kelly J Baker	7/2/1979	Σ	В	425 5th Street Green Bay, WI 54304	WWL	10CF0516	Del Heroin (<=3g)-2cts	Pending
47 Consol 74	0.00	L		1331 Bellevue Street Lot #221				
ין סמווומ ב סמווופנו	4/2/1900	L	>	Green Bay, WI 34311 819 Cherry Street	WWVL	10CF0517	Poss Cocaine Battery	Pending
18 Timothy J Ransby	6/11/1979	Σ	В	Green Bay, WI 54301	WWL	10CF0518		Arr 6/1/10
		!	•	1019 Moraine Way Apt 1				
19 Doris C Menore	6/10/1983	4	-	Green Bay, WI 54303	WWL	10CF0523		IA 6/8/10
20 Nathaniel S Bessette	11/23/1990	Σ	>	624 E Pearl Street Seymour, WI 54165	WWL	10CF0527	PWI/D THC (<=200g)-PTAC PDP Del THC (<=200g)-PTAC	IA 6/10/10

	Date of)	Attornev	Case		
Defendant	Birth	Sex	Race Add	Address	Assigned	Number	Charges	Disposition
		, the					Consp Manuf Methamphetamine-2nd & subs-rptr Manuf Methamphetamine- PTAC-2nd & subs-rptr Purchase Pseudoephedrine fpr Another w/ Intentent to Manuf Methamphetamine-	
21 Michael J Socha	3/23/1988	Σ	>	2441 CTH G Seymour, WI 54165	WWL	10CF0528		IA 5/27/10
22 Nicholas L Vivirito	4/26/1990	Σ	>	324 E Walnut Street Seymour, WI 54165	WWL	10CF0530	False Present Noncontrol Subst (LSD) Del Drug Paraphrenalia PWI/D THC (<=200g)-PTAC	Warrant
23 David A Goins	3/24/1986	Σ	≥	944 Howard Street Green Bay, WI 54303	ARP	10CF0543	Extradition-Arrest Prior to Requisition	Rev Hrg 6/9/10
24 Joshua D Demeny	10/13/1984	Σ	>	1962 Shanock Drive #13 Green Bay, WI 54303	ARP	10CF0544	[IA 6/15/10
25 Michael P Nuthals	10/21/1977	Σ	>	826 School Place Green Bay, WI 54303	ARP	10CF0545	- 1	Comp Hrg 5/25/10
26 Orlando Cancel-Muniz	3/27/1979	Σ		2676 Westpoint Road Green Bay, WI 54304	ARP	10CF0546		PH 6/15/10
27 Mecus L Wilson	6/3/1982	Σ	<u> </u>	15598 Court Village Lane Taylor, MI 48180	MWL	10CF0547	Del MDMA (>10-50g)-2nd & subs PWI/D MDMA (>10-50g)- 2nd & subs Poss THC-2nd & subs	AIA 6/3/10
28 Leeseembah B Williams	8/1/1975	Σ	В	Address Unknown Unknown, WI	MML	10CF0549		Warrant
29 Lisa J Larock 6/1/2010	12/15/1987	ш		600 10th Ave Green Bay, WI 54303 3	WWL	10CF0550	Del MDMA (<=3g)-3cts Warrant S:\DaData\DA\WORD\Susan\2010 Drug Cases	Warrant 0 Drug Cases

	Date of				Attorney	Case		
Defendant	BIRTIN	Sex	Sex Race Add	Address	Assigned	Number	Charges	Disposition
							Poss Narc Drugs (Oxycontin) Poss Non-narc Control Subst (Hydrocodone)-2cts Poss Non-narc Control Subst (Alprazolam)-2cts Poss Non-narc Control Subst	·
30 Beth S Olp	1/25/1979	Щ	≥	1130 Lancelot Pulaski, WI 54162	WWL	10CF0552	(Lunesta)-2cts Theft-Moveable Property (>\$2500-\$5000)	PH 6/2/10
							Forgery Uttering a Forgery Misd Theft Fel BJ	
31 Mandy L Skippergosh	5/3/1990	ட		928 Dousman Street Green Bay, WI 54303	WWL	10CF0553	(heroin, vicodin, percocet & THC)	Pending
32 Loyal T Bradley	4/12/1986	Σ	മ	1101 W Mason Street #3 Green Bay, WI 54304	ARP	10CF0558	Poss THC-PTAC Fel BJ	AIA 6/2/10
33 John M Jefferson	6/5/1965	Σ	≥	1321 Cedar Street Green Bay, WI 54302	SEB	10CF0559	Poss THC-2nd & subs PDP	PH 6/11/10
34 Sean P Cullinane	3/13/1991	Σ	≥	1127 Buchanan Street #2 Green Bay, WI 54303	ARP	10CF0568	PWI/D THC (<=200g) PDP	AIA 6/25/10
35 Dartania A Howard	8/26/1974	ш	Ф	2068 August Street Green Bay, WI 54302	WWL	10CF0569	Poss THC-2nd & subs-rptr PDP-rptr MDTP-rptr Poss Firearm by Felon-rptr	AIA 5/27/10
36 Benson E Eubanks	10/11/1964	Σ	В	241 N Broadway Street #370 Green Bay, WI 54303	WWL	10CF0571	Poss THC-2nd & subs	IA 6/22/10
37 Michael W Gordon	7/12/1981	Σ	В	1212 S Chestnut Ave Green Bay, WI 54304	WWL	10CF0575	Poss THC-2nd & subs PDP	PH 6/10/10
38 Dennis C Flowers	4/21/1957	Σ	Ф	1138 E Walnut Street Apt G Green Bay, WI 54301	BGL	10CF0583	Poss THC-2nd & subs	IA 6/24/10
39 Van K Stevens	9/8/1984	Σ	Ω	1517 Plymouth Lane #8 Green Bay, WI 54303	ARP	10CM0765	Poss/Illegal Obtain Prescript (Tramadol)	IA 6/3/10
40 Jennifer L Clement	5/6/1981	щ	×	1332 Lexington Court Oneida, WI 54155	SEB	10CM0766		Pending
41 Jeremy J Maddix	7/3/1978	Σ	≯	1206 13th Avenue Green Bay, WI 54304	SEB	10CM0769	Poss THC-PTAC PDP-PTAC	IA 6/10/10
N. A.			- 19	San San Color Color San Azric Color a	10 10 10 10 10		S:\DaData\DA\WORD\Susan\2010 Drug Cases	0 Drug Cases

	Date of		<u> </u>		Afformati	(May 2010	
Defendant	Birth	Sex	Race	Address	Assigned	Number Charges	Disposition
42 Jordan R.Jenguin	8/31/1985	Σ	3	1901 Ridgeway Drive #42	G G		
	0001	2	3	1807 Sahara Drivo	SEB	IUCINIU//4 POSS I HC-P I AC	FPT 6/8/10
43 Vincent P O'Connor	5/17/1981	∑	≯	Green Bay, WI 54304	WWL	Misd I nett (Oxycodone & 10CM0776 Tramadol)	IA 6/10/10
44 Steven J Legois	10/21/1958	Σ	≯	2713 George Drive New Franken, WI 54229	SH H	1	EDT 8/19/10
						1	01/01/0
45 Roshunda L Reed	5/22/1983	щ	В	Oshkosh, WI 54902	ARP	10CM0787 PDP	IA 6/15/10
		1	:	N9638 Nesters Road			
46 Cassandra L Adams	10/25/1981	щ	≥	Crivitz, WI 54114	SEB	10CM0788 Poss Cocaine	IA 6/15/10
47 Ashley R Pease	7/19/1988	ᄠ	≯	1962 Shanock Drive #13 Green Bay, WI 54303	ARP	Poss THC-PTAC 10CM0797 PDP-PTAC	IA 6/15/10
48 Tonya L McCarrell	5/19/1971	止	· >	928 Dousman Street Green Bay, WI 54303	WWL	10CM0817 Obstructing or Resisting	AIA 6/18/10
	0	l		928 Dousman Street			
49 Mandy L Skippergosh	5/3/1990	ᆈ	-	Green Bay, WI 54303	WWL	10CM0818 Obstructing or Resisting	AIA 5/28/10
50 Kelly M Davey	10/19/1987	LL	>	1804 Western Ave #19 Green Bay. WI 54303	IMM	10CM0830 PDP	10 6/15/10
							01/01/041
51 Scott M Davey	9/30/1986	Σ	8	1804 Western Ave #19 Green Bay, WI 54303	WWL	NIISG I NETT (Buy money for cocaine & vicodin) 10CM0831 PDP	IA 6/15/10
						Misd Intimidation of Witness-	
				1801 August Street #8		Tolonbono Longentat	
52 Delbert K Cooper	9/16/1964	Σ	В	Green Bay, WI 54302	WWL	10CM0852 PTAC	AIA 6/2/10
53 Nina M Smith	10/18/1966	ட	8	634 E Frontage Road #38 Little Suamico, WI 54141	ARP	10CM0857 PDP	IA 6/22/10
				W211 N11863 Hillton Drive C10		OHL SOC	
54 Zachariah W Wischer	3/23/1989	Σ	≥	Germantown, WI 53022	ARP	10CM0858 PDP	IA 6/18/10
55 Dhillin D Raker	1/30/1071	Σ	*	1321 Cedar Street Apt A	a ii v	DC-DVO	
		L	}	3204 Fleetridge Court		ł	
56 Nicole Pozorski	11/15/1985	_	≥	Manitowoc, WI 54220	ARP	10CV Property Forteiture	
57 James D Nemetz	3/27/1987	Σ	>	104 Yale Street Green Bay, WI 54303	WWL	10CV1333 Asset Forfeiture	Pending
58 Andrew M Shuck	7/16/1987	Σ	≥	925 Division Street Green Bay, WI 54303	WWL	10CV1334 Asset Forfeiture	Pendina
6/1/2010				9.000		S:\DaData\DA\WORD\Susan\2010 Drug Cases	0 Drug Cases

05/25/2010 12:46

15.33(5) - 15.34(9)

organizations; and assist in publishing the "Guide to Historic Dane County."

720403/003

- (d) Unify and publicize the many county information services, both to prevent duplication and to improve accessibility to county government while minimizing confusion.
- (e) Create opportunities for cultural exchange and increased interdependence of communities, especially for the purpose of sharing plays, histories, crafted materials, etc., and continue with other activities which are in the spirit of the bicentennial.

[History: (1) and (2) am., OA 46, 1987-88, pub. 04/27/88; (1) sm., OA 24, 1992-93, pub. 02/03/93; (1) and (2) am., OA 10, 2008-09, pub. 07/30/08.]

- 15.34 PUBLIC SAFETY COMMUNICATIONS CENTER BOARD. (1) As used in this section, the following words have the meanings indicated:
- (a) Advisory committee means the Dane County Public Safety Communications Center Operating Practices Advisory Committee.
- (b) Center board means the Dane County Public Safety Communications Center Board.
- (c) City means the City of Madison.
- (d) Commission means the Dane County Emergency Medical Services Commission.
- (e) County board means the County Board of Supervisors of the County of Dane.
- (f) Department means the Dane County Department of Public Safety Communications Center.
- (g) Director means the Dane County Public Safety Communications Director.
- (h) Technical committee means the Dane County Public Safety Communications Center Technical Committee.
- (2) The center board shall have 12 voting and 2 non-voting members. Voting members consist of the sheriff or designee; the city's police chief or designes; the city's fire chief or designee; a representative, not from the City of Madison Fire Department, appointed by the Dane County Fire Chiefs' Association; representative, not from the City of Madison Fire Department, appointed by the Dane County EMS Association: the EMS Chief of the City of Madison Fire Department or designee; a representative, not from the City of Madison Police Department or the Dane County Sheriffs Department, appointed by the Dane County Police Chiefs' Association; an aldermanic member of the city's public safety review board. appointed by the city's mayor; a member of the county board's public protection & judiciary

committee who represents a district outside the city, appointed by the county exacutive; the Director of the City of Madison Information Services or designee; the Supervisor of the City of Madison Communications Section of the City of Madison Traffic Engineering Division or designee; and, an elected official (with designated alternate), not currently a member of the County Board, representing a County municipality, excluding the City of Madison, to be appointed by the county executive. Nonvoting members consist of the chair of the advisory committee and the chair of the technical committee.

- (3) County executive appointments to the center board shall be subject to confirmation by the county board.
- (4) The county board member shall serve a two year tarm concurrent with his or her county board membership.
- (5) The county board member shall receive the same per diem and mileage paid for attendance at meetings of the county board's standing committees.
- (6) The aldermanic representative of the city's public safety review board and the county board representative shall be eligible to serve on the center board only so long as they remain as members of the bodies which they represent.
- (7) In May of even-numbered years, the center board shall select a chairperson and vice-chairperson to serve a two-year term.
- (8) Department staff shall provide such assistance as may be required to carry out the functions of the center board.
- (9) The center board shall establish minimum requirements for examination of candidates for the director's position and shall also select persons qualified to conduct the examination. The center board, whether directly or by a panel of not less than 3 of its members, shall interview those applicants found to be most From the qualified by the examination. applicants interviewed, the center board shall certify to the county executive, ranked in order of ment as determined by the center board, as many candidates as the center board determines are most qualified for the position. The county executive shall appoint a candidate from the list so certified and shall provide reasons for not selecting candidates who were ranked higher than the candidate actually appointed. This information will be provided to the center board in executive session prior to the submission of the appointment to the county board. If less than three qualified candidates

05/25/2010

12:46

DANE COUNTY SHERIFF > 919204037883

15.34(9) - (18)

are certified to the executive by the center board, the county executive may request further recruitment through regular channels. Any additional candidates applying shall be processed in the same manner as the previous applicants. The director shall be employed under a five year contract which shall be subject to approval by the county board. Removal of the director by action of the county executive shall be subject to sec. 18.05(5), D. C. Ords., and the provisions of the director's employment agreement with the county.

(10) The center board shall establish the operating practices of the department provided that any practices which have a major fiscal effect shall be subject to approval of the county executive. The center board's authority shall not extend to personnel policies except when to the extent such policies involve the performance of the director. The center board shall annually evaluate the director and forward this evaluation to the county executive for consideration in the county executive's performance evaluation.

(11) The center board shall be provided with the director's annual proposed budget at least thirty (30) days prior to submission to the county executive. The center board shall review and propose amendments, if necessary, to the proposed budget. Additionally, the center board shall review quarterly a report prepared by the director regarding the center's training plan and complaints concerning the center's operation.

(12) The center board shall receive input and consider agenda items submitted by the advisory committee and by the technical committee. The advisory committee, under direction of the center board, shall review issues and recommend action to the center board. The advisory committee shall participate in all planning for changes in the center's operation. The technical committee, under the direction of the center board, shall review technology issues and projects pertaining to public safety communications and forward recommendations to the center board.

(13) The advisory committee shall have 11 members, consisting of the sheriff or designee; a representative of the Dane County Police Chiefs Association, selected by the Association and appointed by the county executive; a representative of the Dane County Fire Chiefs Association, selected by the Association and appointed by the county executive; the city's police chief or designee; a representative of

the commission, selected by the commission and appointed by the county executive; a representative of the Dane County EMS Association, selected by the Association and appointed by the county executive; the city's fire chief or designee; the director of emergency government for Dane County or designee, appointed by the county executive; a representative of a 24-hour communications center, appointed by the county executive; a resident of Dane County with an interest in public safety communications, appointed by the county executive; and a municipal police ohlef representing departments without 24-hour dispatch centers, appointed by the county executive.

(13n) The technical committee shall have 12 members, consisting of the sheriff or designee; a representative of the Dane County Police Chiefs Association or designee, selected by the Association; a representative of the Dane County Fire Chiefs Association or designee, selected by the Association; the city's police the city's information chief or designee; services director or designee; the county's director of administration or designee; the city's radio shop manager or designee; the city's fire chief or designee; the director of Dane County Public Safety Communications or designee; Emergency County of Dane Management or designee: a representative of the Dane County EMS Association or designee, selected by the Association; and the Dane County Land Information Officer or designee.

- (14) County executive appointments to the advisory committee and technical committee shall be subject to confirmation by the county board.
- (15) Advisory committee and technical committee members appointed by the county executive shall serve two-year terms ending in May of even-numbered years.
- (16) The advisory committee and technical committee shall each select their own chairperson and vice-chairperson to serve a two-year concurrent term no later than during the month of June of even-numbered years.
- (17) Members of the advisory committee, the technical committee and the center board shall serve until a successor is appointed and confirmed.
- (18) With respect to telecommunications matters, the center board shall constitute a policy-proposing body and shall make recommendations on system-management policies for city and county communication

D004

ND.404

05/25/2010

12:46

9204037883

15.34(18) - 15.38(2)

systems, system replacements, expansions or modifications, additional frequencies and disposition of surplus equipment. It shall be the general duty of the center board to make recommendations to the common council and the county board on communication matters requiring legislation or budget approval. The center board shall also review the schedule of charges for communications services and make recommendations on staffing levels communication systems.

[History: cr., OA 36, 1991-92, pub. 04/22/92; (13) am., DA 14, 1992-93, pub. 10/14/92; (18) cr., CA 17, 1993-94, pub. 11/05/93; (9) am., OA 18, 1993-94, pub. 11/05/93; (13) am., OA 3, 1995-96, pub. 05/12/95; (2) and (12) am., Sub. 1 to OA 36, 2001-02, pub. 08/19/02; (1), (2), (12) and (14) - (17) am., and (13n) a., O.A. 3, 2006-08, pub. 06/02/05; (2) am., Sub. 1 to OA 11, 2008-07, pub, 08/31/06.]

115.35 reserved.]

COMMISSIONERS OF CONDEM-15.36 NATION. (1) Hourly rate. Commissioners of condemnation shall be compensated for actual services rendered at the rate of \$40,00 per

(2) Mileage. Commissioners of condemnation shall receive mileage at the same rate paid county board members for the necessary and direct round trip travel from their homes to the place where the condemnation commission conducts its hearings.

[History: (1) am., OA 27, 1990-91, pub. 01/05/91; (1) am., OA 23, 1995-96, pub. 10/17/95.]

DANE COUNTY VETERANS' 15.37 SERVICE COMMISSION, (1) The commission shall consist of five residents of Dane County who are also veterans. In addition, the chairperson of the human resources committee shall appoint one of its members as an exofficio member of the commission unless that commission already has a voting member who is a member of the human resources committee.

(1m) Transitional provision. To implement the transition from 3 members to 5 members, the executive shall appoint 2 members, designating one for a one year term and designating the other for a 3 year term.

(2) Commissioners shall serve staggered three-year terms expiring on the second Monday in December.

(3) When making appointments, the county executive shall require each member of the commission to execute to the county an individual surety band, with sufficient sureties to be approved by the county executive and each such bond to be in an amount equal to the tax levied in the current year for expenditure by the commission. The bond shall be filed with the county clerk.

(4) The commission shall organize itself by electing one of its number as chairperson. The county veterans' service officer shall serve as executive secretary of the commission.

(5) The commission shall meet annually on or before the first Monday of January of each year at the courthouse. Other meetings shall be held at such times as are necessary.

(6) The commission may fumlsh aid to needy veterans, needy spouses, surviving spouses, minor and dependent children of such veterans and needy parents of such veterans if the right of such person to aid shall be established to its satisfaction. The commission shall create a list containing the name, place of residence and amount to be paid each such person, which shall be signed by the chairperson and secretary and deposited with the county clerk. Total disbursements made by the commission shall not exceed the amount collected from the tax levied. In lieu of furnished money, the commission may furnish aid in a different The commission may request the county clerk to issue an order upon the county treasurer to a purveyor of services or commodities for the purchase of such services or commodities or the commission may furnish such supplies as it deems best. commission shall make a detailed report to the county board at each annual session thereof showing the amount expended.

(7) The human resources committee shall review and approve any budgets, resolutions or ordinance amendments prepared by or referred to the commission. The commission shall be advisory to the human resources committee of the county board on major issues and with respect to budget and policy matters.

[History: (1) and (2) am., (1m) cr., DA 34, 1993-94, pub. 03/03/94.]

15.38 TREE BOARD. (1) The tree board shall consist of nine members appointed by the The members shall be county executive. appointed to staggered three year terms. The membership shall include representatives of the private sector, area communities, citizens with forestry community interest in environmental issues, and at least one member of the Dane County Board of Supervisors.

(2) The tree board is created to coordinate community forestry issues in Dane County;

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY COMMUNICATIONS

Brown County

3028 CURRY LANE GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN 54311-4875



Emergency Communication Services 9-1-1 Center Operations Emergency Management

PHONE (920) 391-7400 FAX (920) 391-7406 Shelly Nackers Interim Director

Director's Report June 2nd, 2010

- 1. Radio Consultant RFP GeoComm
 - On-site Monday, May 10th thru May 14th
 39 separate agency interviews were held with approximately 70 different people in attendance.

The following meetings were attended and provided an update: Brown County Police Chief's Association Brown County Fire Chief's Association Dispatch User's Group

- Reports
 - i. May 7th, 2010
 - ii. May 21st, 2010
 - iii. June 1, 2010
- Strategic Planning Session to be scheduled in June/July:
 The Work Group will be line level staff that are selected by the Police and Fire Chiefs, Dispatch and EMS agencies and consists of four (4) people from Law Enforcement, four (4) people from Fire, one (1) person to represent MABAS, four (4) people from dispatch and a couple of people representing EMS.

This work group will begin establishing a Fleetmap for the new system – identifying operational areas where users will talk and operate with their event channel activity from routine to special events.

In addition, the work group will also work on the operational plan. This is a workgroup from the line level staff that use the system on a daily basis to work on standardized procedures to help establish the governance of the system.

 We are hoping to have an RFP available for the August Public Safety Committee and County Board meetings for your review.




- 2. Pulaski Tri-County paging issue was identified as part of the interview process for the Radio Interoperability project. As a result, Baycom identified that there was low power out of the Tri-County Fire base that was caused by a bad antenna relay in the base. There was also a sensitivity problem in the receiver. Both items have been repaired and are now back to full operation.
- 3. Office of Justice Assistance (OJA) compliance visit May 26, 2010:
 - Grant ID 6621 IFERN Base Station & MARC Repeater upgrade
- 4. Overtime Statistic Graph:
 - 2004 thru 2009 graph of overtime reduction
- 5. Communications Center Training
 - NAED-Emergency Medical Dispatch Certification-May 19th thru May 21st

Regards,

Shelly Nackers Interim Director



Brown County Public Safety Communications Overtime Trend 2004 - 2009



Brown County, Wisconsin Public Safety Communications Radio Interoperability Project

Prepared By: Lex Rutter

Status Report Date: May 7, 2010

Overall Project Completion Date: December 1, 2011

OVERVIEW

This is the bi-weekly status report describing the Brown County, Wisconsin, and GeoComm efforts on the Public Safety Communications Radio Interoperability Project.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS

During this reporting period, the following tasks were accomplished:

- Delivered Data Summary to Brown County
- Scheduled site visit for agency interview process for the week of May 10
- Delivered interview questions to Brown County
- Developed schedule updates to accommodate the interview process

OBJECTIVES FOR NEXT REPORTING PERIOD

The following objectives are planned prior to May 21:

- Develop Radio Assessment Report
- Define radio system design requirements

BROWN COUNTY RESPONSIBLITIES FOR NEXT REPORTING PERIOD

The following assistance is needed from the Brown County prior to May 21:

Continue to update system data information





ISSUES

It was necessary to formally change the System Summary; Design Requirments; and Site Uitilization report deliverable dates in order to accommodate local agency interviews. This change does not affect the overall project schedule.

DELIVERABLES

Deliverable	Due Date
Establish Initial Contact	Completed
Phase I	
Project Kickoff Meeting	Completed
Written Work Plan	Completed
Data Summary	Completed
System Summary Report	May 24
Phase 2	
System Design Requirements	May 24
Site Utilization Report	May 28
Recommendations	June 9, 2010
Final Report provided for review	June 17, 2010
Final Report delivered	June 23, 2010
Phase 3	
System Tech Specification	July 8, 2010
Final RFP for system	July 8, 2010
Bid Addenda published	August 11, 2010
Bid Review completed	September 8, 2010
Vendor Recommendation	September 22, 2010
Contract Development	September 22, 2010
Phase 4	
Contract award assistance	October 15, 2010
System Implementation management	Through November 15, 2011
System Testing Oversight	November 15, 2011
Test Plan Completion	November 15, 2011
Training planning and oversight	December I, 2011





Brown County, Wisconsin Public Safety Communications Radio Interoperability Project

Prepared By: Lex Rutter

Status Report Date: May 21, 2010

Overall Project Completion Date: December 1, 2011

OVERVIEW

This is the bi-weekly status report describing the Brown County, Wisconsin, and GeoComm efforts on the Public Safety Communications Radio Interoperability Project.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS

During this reporting period, the following tasks were accomplished:

- Conducted 39 agency interviews
- Developed and distributed plans for Strategic Planning session in July
- Reviewed current radio system during on-site visit

OBJECTIVES FOR NEXT REPORTING PERIOD

The following objectives are planned prior to June 4:

- Develop Site Utilization report
- Develop Systems Requirements report

BROWN COUNTY RESPONSIBLITIES FOR NEXT REPORTING PERIOD

The following assistance is needed from the Brown County prior to June 4:

- Continue to update system data information
- Provide date for Strategic Planning session

ISSUES

In order to accommodate local agency interviews, it was necessary to formally change the System Summary; Design Requirments; and Site Uitilization report deliverable dates.. This change does not affect the overall project schedule.





DELIVERABLES

Deliverable	Due Date
Establish Initial Contact	Completed
Phase I	
Project Kickoff Meeting	Completed
Written Work Plan	Completed
Data Summary	Completed
System Summary Report	Completed
Phase 2	
System Design Requirements	May 24, 2010
Site Utilization Report	May 28, 2010
Recommendations	June 9, 2010
Final Report provided for review	June 17, 2010
Final Report delivered	June 23, 2010
Phase 3	
System Tech Specification	July 8, 2010
Final RFP for system	July 8, 2010
Bid Addenda published	August 11, 2010
Bid Review completed	September 8, 2010
Vendor Recommendation	September 22, 2010
Contract Development	September 22, 2010
Phase 4	
Contract award assistance	October 15, 2010
System Implementation management	Through November 15, 2011
System Testing Oversight	November 15, 2011
Test Plan Completion	November 15, 2011
Training planning and oversight	December I, 2011



GeoConn been there.

Brown County Wisconsin

Existing Systems Status Verification Report

May 2010



Contents

Introduction	
Kickoff Meeting Summary	
Agency Commentary Summary	
Agency Comments	
System Hardware	
Trunking Infrastructure	9
Conventional Infrastructure	10
Paging System	10
Communications Center	11
Locations	11
Licenses and Frequencies	14
Narrowbanding	19
FCC Regulations	19
Conclusion	23

Brown County, Wisconsin Existing Systems Status Verification Report

Introduction

This report is a summary of the current status of the Brown County, Wisconsin public safety radio system and the information presented to GeoComm for review. It is a compilation of the radio system survey developed and administered by Brown County; information gathered during site visits by GeoComm consultants; information provided to GeoComm during local agency interviews; and other material gathered from the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and other databases. This report will serve as a baseline and be used to determine features and abilities necessary for any public safety radio system designed for Brown County. Any future design will accommodate the use of the system as described in this report, as well as those changes, advances, and improvements determined needed to provide a modern, expandable, and interoperable public safety radio system.

Kickoff Meeting Summary

GeoComm and Brown County staff held a series of meetings on April 6, 2010, to start the project. The first meeting introduced the Brown County project team of John Lampkin and Shelly Nackers, along with the local service provider Ollie Toms of Baycom to the GeoComm team of Lex Rutter, Mike Mazzitello, and Annie Akehurst. During the meeting, the project phases, status reporting, and other logistical issues were discussed.

Following the Brown County and GeoComm project team meeting, the first of two formal Kickoff meetings were held at the Brown County communications center. The first meeting was primarily for law enforcement agencies and started with a brief slide presentation outlining the project and how it would proceed. As a part of the original project specification, Brown County requested these meetings include a short discussion of narrowbanding, digital and analog radio systems, and trunking. This was included as a refresher for those attending.

Following the slide show, the floor was opened for questions and the GeoComm team provided responses. The primary issue for law enforcement during this meeting was a desire to ensure full participation by the local agencies. GeoComm and Brown County assured those in attendance that an interview program was part of the discovery process and the agencies would be invited to participate.

A second Kickoff meeting was held that evening in the same room, with fire and Emergency Medical Services (EMS) agencies being the target audience. This two meeting series was necessary as many fire agencies are staffed by volunteer members or representatives with other daytime jobs.





The same process was followed with fire agencies expressing some concern with the affects of digital radio voice encoding during high-noise situations. GeoComm covered the current work being done by the fire chiefs association to ensure proper and safe operation of these systems. We also noted that modern interoperable radio systems are able to work in both digital and analog mode, allowing users to select the most appropriate mode of operation.

The Kickoff meetings were followed by several days of data gathering at local radio equipment sites and the dispatch center.

Agency Commentary Summary

This summary will briefly summarize the input of various public safety agencies regarding the current system and future needs of those agencies. The summary includes reviews of the survey conducted by Brown County as well as interviews with agency representatives with GeoComm and Brown County project team members, as well as comments received during the project Kickoff meetings.

Agencies participating in the various discussions were:

Brown County Emergency Management
Greenleaf Fire Department
Hollandtown Fire Department
Oneida Tribe Police Department
Green Bay Police Department
De Pere Police Department
Ashwaubenon Public Safety
De Pere Fire Department
Village of Denmark Public Safety
Town of Hobart
Town of Morrison
Howard Fire Department
Gold Cross Ambulance
Brown County Police Chief's Association
Brown County Parks
Green Bay Fire Department
Brown County Airport
New Franken Fire Department
Lawrence
Ledgeview Fire Department
Wayside Fire Department
Pulaski Tri-County Fire Department
UW-Green Bay Public Safety
Denmark Police Department
County Rescue





Bellevue Fire Department
Cullen County Department Public Works
Suamico Fire Department
Wrightstown Fire Department
Brown County Executive
Hobart/Lawrence
Pulaski Police Department
Brown County Highway
Allouez Fire Department
Brown County Dispatch Users Group
Brown County Sheriff
Brown County First Responders
Brown County Fire Chief's Association
Invenergy LLC (Wind Farm)

Agency Comments

GeoComm consultants and Brown County Communications management met with various county service providers. The primary agencies were fire and law enforcement from around the county. The meetings also included representatives of public works agencies, emergency management, and representatives of non-government organizations involved in ambulance service. Throughout these interviews one common theme was presented: Radio coverage and interoperability between agencies within Brown County is at an unacceptable level.

Fire services today use a single radio frequency, Fire Main, for all dispatch, paging, and communications to dispatch. Most agencies, especially the rural volunteer agencies, attempt to organize initial response and agency check-in on this single, non-repeated channel. This means that in most cases users must "wait their turn" for a chance to speak between the operations of dispatch and other agencies. This results in delays and at times lost transmissions during emergency operations.

When fire agencies begin to work a fire scene they normally switch to a different, non-repeated fire-ground channel. In most cases this means that the dispatch center is no longer able to monitor the progress of the operation or provide timely assistance. Many rural fire agencies described using the "Statue of Liberty stance" to try to contact dispatch from portables, holding the radio up high and trying to talk towards it.

Some agencies depend on the use of the Fire Main channel for volunteers to monitor the rollout progress while en-route to the fire station. This can be problematic as the fire equipment mobile radios are all operating on a simplex or radio-to-radio basis. Pagers can easily be out of range of the mobile, thus missing the information.





Even when in range, it is likely that agencies will need to wait for other radio traffic to clear the radio channel before trying to update equipment status. This can result in delays for the responding volunteers.

Every agency outside metropolitan Green Bay reported major areas with little or no coverage. This was true even when utilizing higher power mobile radios. Portables have considerable difficulties communicating with other units, unless the other unit is very close. Most agencies state that they cannot coordinate any mutual aid or Mutual Aid Box Alarm System (MABAS) response until the incoming equipment on the fire scene. This results in needless delays in staging any incoming response units.

Green Bay Public Safety, Ashwaubenon Public Safety, and some other agencies, are able to utilize the 800 MHz analog trunked system located at a single-site in Green Bay. This site provides much better channel capacity than the single-channel fire service channel. However, it does not provide countywide coverage. Even within the primary use area there are locations with little or no signal. Most notably, the area of the Green Bay Packers' stadium, Lambeau Field, in front of the latest façade is an area of radio silence. While local amplifiers provide signal in most of the stadium interior, this one area lacks coverage. This same situation exists sporadically throughout the area. The more remote sections of the county are simply not covered by this radio system.

System Hardware

Subscriber Equipment

Public safety agencies within Brown County, provided this data to GeoComm. The data describes the current subscriber unit hardware inventory. The chart on the following pages provides a breakdown of the types of equipment reported as well as the agencies using the equipment.

Type Key:

M = Mobile Radio

H = Handheld Radio

P = Personal Pager

B = Base/fixed station

V = Vehicular Data Modem



Agency	Туре	Count
Allouez Fire Department	M	6
	Н	33
	Р	0
	В	i
•	٧	4
Ashwaubenon Fire/Police Department	М	42
	Н	114
	Р	0
	В	4
	V ·	20
Austin Straubel Airport	М	27
	H	66
	P	0
	В	1
	V	4
Bellevue Fire Rescue	M	14
	Н	32
	Р.	0
	В	2
	٧	7
County Rescue	М	46
	Н	81
	P .	.81
	В	8
	٧	4
Denmark Fire Department	M	10
•	Н	11
	P	57
	В	2
	V	0
Denmark Police Department	M	2
	H	6
	P	0





Agency	Туре	Count
	В	0
	٧	2
De Pere Fire Department	M	10
	Н	50
	Р	50
	В	4
	٧	12
De Pere Police Department	M	20
	H	20
	Р	0
	В	2
	V	8
Emergency Management	M	4
	Н	20
	Р	0
	В	0
	V	ŀ
Green Bay Fire Department	М	58
	Н	144
	Р	0
	В	8
	V	25
Green Bay Police Department	M	87
	Н	260
	Р	0
	В	0
	V	55
Greenleaf Fire Department	М	6
	н	26
	Р	0
	В	. 1
	V	0
Hobart Fire Department	M	8





Agency	Туре	Count
	Н	28
	Р	0
	В	0
	٧	0
Hobart/Lawrence Police Department	M	8
	Н	7
	Р	0
	В	0
	V	4
Hollandtown Fire Department	M	5
	Н	13
	Р	0
	В	l
	V	0
Howard Fire Department	M	13
	Н	32
	Р	0
	В	3
	V	7
Lawrence Fire Department	M	5
	Н	12
	P	0
	В	0
	V	0
Ledgeview Fire Department	M	6
	Н	22
	Р	. 0
	В	1
	V	3
Morrison Volunteer Fire Department	М	10
	Н	31
	Р	.0
	В	1





Agency	Туре	Count
garetinen, para et espera indigen politica en en el esta de la lación de la computación de electronico de la d	V	0
New Franken Fire Department	M	8
	Н	14
	Р	0
	В	ı
	V	0
New Paramedic	M	6
	Н	26
	Р	0
	В	l
	V	0
Pulaski Police Department	M	4
	Н	10
	Р	0
	В	2
	V	0
Brown County Sheriff's Department	M	83
	Н	261
	Р	30
	В	14
	V	
Suamico Fire Department	М	10
	Н	23
	Р	41
	В	2
	V	3
Tri-County Fire Department	М	20
en en en el mener, minimizar en	Н	32
	Р	48
	В	1
	V	0
JW-GB Police Department	М	3
	Н	15





Agency	Туре	Count
	Р	0
	В	4
	V	4
Viking Rescue	М	2
	Н	37
	Р	42
	В	
	V	0
Wayside Fire Department	M	6
	Н	10
	P	38
	В	0
	V	0
Wrightstown Fire Department	M	5
	Н	19
	Р	29
	В	0
	٧	0
Wrightstown Police Department	М	3
	Н	8
	Р	0
	В	l
	٧	3

Trunking Infrastructure

Brown County agencies currently use a single-site 800 MHz trunking platform for primary communications. The trunking repeaters are housed at the Pulliam Electrical Plant in an equipment room located at the base of an exhaust stack. The antenna system is mounted on the side of the stack.

This facility is heavily secured and does present some difficulty accessing equipment in the plant. The site is a leased site, subject to the requirements of the electrical utility operator.





The equipment room at the Pulliam Plant is spacious and well laid-out. Grounding is very good and should serve well for future installations. Access to the room is somewhat obstructed, with the area around the equipment room used by the utility company for active work and storage.

Some buildings in the area, including Lambeau Field, contain 800 MHz channelized bi-directional amplifiers to provide adequate 800 MHz trunking within the facility. This is necessary to allow in-building coverage inside heavily shielded structures and is especially necessary with the single-transmitter site used by the current trunking system.

Conventional Infrastructure

There are both VHF and 800 MHz conventional sites and equipment located in Brown County, with various agencies using different systems. The variety of systems in use is a factor to difficulties encountered with seamless interoperability.

The primary VHF site is known as Scrays Hill, with a 15 by 22 foot building housing the radio equipment. The building has a minimum clearance of seven feet, with an open peak two feet above the ceiling beam. Commercial electrical service is backed up by a local generator, working through a 100 Amp transfer switch. Doors are alarmed and a high/low temperature sensor/alarm is also in place. Cables enter both ends of one wall of the building. One cable entry has proper Polyphaser surge protection on the feed cables, the other does not.

The VHF equipment at this site provides primary communications for the Sheriff's Office, fire services, and EMS in Brown County. This is a simplex site and does not provide any additional signal for mobile or portable radios.

The 800 MHz radio system has backup and conventional equipment located at the Green Bay police headquarters. This site also houses backup radios for the VHF radio system.

Paging System

The current tone and voice paging system operates over the same VHF radio system as the voice system. This results in pages being delayed until the voice channel is clear, or voice transmission waiting for pages to complete. Coverage is similar to that of the voice system, with the notation that personal pagers, normally worn mid-section on the body and with small antenna systems, are typically less sensitive than standard handheld radios. This in turn limits the coverage area of a personal pager to something less than that of portable radios.





Communications Center

The primary Brown County communications center is located at:

Brown County Public Safety Communications 9-1-1 Center
3028 Curry Lane

Green Bay, WI 54311-4875

This site houses the Brown County 9-1-1 call takers and associated telephone equipment; the Brown County public safety communications dispatchers, radio dispatch consoles, and associated computer and system control hardware and software.

This center provides 9-1-1 call taking and call routing for all public safety agencies within Brown County. Fire agency, law enforcement, and EMS dispatch services for most agencies within the county are also housed at this location.

Locations

There are several locations listed in station licenses for Brown County. The chart on the following page details the call signs associated with each address. Note that some locations have slightly different latitude/longitude coordinates listed for that site. GeoComm does not physically inspect all sites to verify that either equipment is in service at the site, or if the difference in coordinates is due to clerical error or physically different equipment locations. We instead rely on the data and information supplied by Brown County, with physical inspection of representative sites.

Pages 12 - 18 of this report provide security sensitive information and have been deleted from this version.





Narrowbanding

The narrowbanding status for radios in use in Brown County cannot be accurately established based on the limited inventory material presented. What is clear is that all UHF and VHF systems in use today must either be abandoned or made compliant with FCC narrowband regulations on or before December 31, 2012. This specifically includes the VHF fire radio system and paging systems. The only radio equipment clearly ready is that licensed and operated by the Zoo.

While the primary law enforcement 800 MHz system is presently outside the frequency range affected by the narrowband regulations, planned changes in regulations will result in the need to narrowband or otherwise change this equipment when the FCC adopts the next generation of bandwidth regulations.

With more than 2,000 subscriber units, plus VHF infrastructure radios Brown County can expect to replace at least half (over 1,000) to become narrowband compliant. Anticipated future FCC regulations will eventually require a second round of narrowbanding to the 6.25 KHz bandwidth level. This in turn will mean more subscriber unit and infrastructure replacement.

FCC Regulations

Radio communications in the United States fall under the regulations of the FCC. The requirement that all land-mobile radio systems operating between 100 and 512 MHz migrate from an occupied bandwidth of 25 KHz (known as wideband) to 12.5 KHz (known as narrowband) was established and a series of official "Report and Order" actions were taken.

In December of 2009, the FCC determined that an update was in order and published a new "Public Notice." The following is a reproduction of the FCC public notice regarding narrowbanding deadlines.

The following public notice is an exact copy of that text, reformatted for easier reading. Some areas have been highlighted in bold type or underlined to add emphasis for the reader. Note that unusual numbering and other items have been left intact.

PUBLIC NOTICE Federal Communications Commission 445 12th St., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 News Media Information 202 / 418-0500 Internet: http://www.fcc.gov

TTY: 1-888-835-5322

DA 09-2589

Released: December 11, 2009





LICENSEES, FREQUENCY COORDINATORS, AND EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURERS REMINDED OF NARROWBAND MIGRATION DEADLINES IN THE 150-174 MHz AND 421-512 MHz BANDS

This Public Notice reminds interested parties of the Commission's deadlines for private land mobile radio services in the 150-174 MHz and 421-512 MHz bands to migrate to narrowband (12.5 kHz or narrower) technology.

- I The Commission directed that a Public Notice be issued by December 31, 2009 reminding licensees and frequency coordinators of the approaching deadlines.
- 2 This Public Notice also provides additional information regarding the transition to narrowbanding technology.

Key Deadlines

Licensees and frequency coordinators should be aware of the following deadlines:

- (I) beginning January 1, 2011,3 the Commission will no longer accept applications for new wideband 25 kHz (i.e., operating with only one voice path per 25 kHz of spectrum) operations, and modification of existing wideband 25 kHz stations that expands the authorized interference contour (19 dBu VHF, 21 dBu UHF); and
- (2) by January 1, 2013, Industrial/Business and Public Safety Radio Pool licensees must operate on 12.5 kHz (11.25 kHz occupied bandwidth) or narrower channels, or employ a technology that achieves the narrowband equivalent of one channel per 12.5 kHz of channel bandwidth (voice) or 4800 bits per second per 6.25 kHz (data).
- I See Implementation of Sections 309(j) and 337 of the Communications Act of 1934 as Amended; Promotion of Spectrum Efficient Technologies on Certain Part 90 Frequencies, Second Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, WT Docket No. 99-87, RM-9332, 18 FCC Rcd 3034 (2003); Implementation of Sections 309(j) and 337 of the Communications Act of 1934 as Amended; Promotion of Spectrum Efficient Technologies on Certain Part 90 Frequencies, Third Memorandum Opinion and Order, Third Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Order, WT Docket No. 99-87, RM-9332, 19 FCC Rcd 25045 (2004) (Narrowbanding Third Memorandum Opinion and Order); see also 47 C.F.R. §§ 90.203(j), 90.209(b).2 See Narrowbanding Third Memorandum Opinion and Order, 19 FCC Rcd at 25057 ¶ 26.3 A petition seeking a stay of the January 1, 2011 deadlines, filed by the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council (NPSTC) on September 29, 2009, is pending. See Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Seek Comment on National Public Safety Telecommunications Council Petition for Stay of Interim Narrowband Implementation Dates, Public Notice, WT Docket No. 99-87, DA 09-2364 (WTB/PSHSB rel. Nov. 2, 2009).
- 2 Equipment manufacturers should be aware that, beginning January 1, 2011, the manufacture, importation, or certification of any 150-174 MHz or 421-512 MHz band equipment capable of



GeoComm

operating with only one voice path per 25 kHz of spectrum will be prohibited; and applications for equipment certification must specify 6.25 kHz capability.4

Additional Information:

How should licensees notify the Commission that they are in compliance with the January 1, 2013 deadline to migrate to narrowband or narrowband-equivalent technology?

The answer to this question depends on how and when the station came into compliance.

Licensees of stations that already satisfy the narrowbanding requirements because their authorized bandwidth does not exceed 12.5 kHz do not need to take any action to notify the Commission that the station has met the narrowbanding deadline.

Licensees of stations that currently are authorized to operate with a bandwidth exceeding 12.5 kHz that are transitioning to 12.5 kHz or narrower operation must file a modification application to either add a narrowband emission designator or change the wideband emission designator to a narrowband emission designator.5

The licensee will not need to take any additional action to notify the Commission that the station has met the narrowbanding deadline. Adding or changing an emission designator for an existing frequency does not trigger a new construction requirement, so the licensee will not need to file a new construction notification.

Note: Many stations are or will be authorized to operate on their assigned frequencies with multiple authorized bandwidths, including both wideband 25 kHz emissions and 12.5 kHz or narrower emissions. It is not necessary for licensees of such stations to delete the wideband 25 kHz emission designator in order to demonstrate compliance with the January 1, 2013 deadline. Instead, absent information to the contrary, stations that were authorized to operate with both wideband and narrowband emissions prior to January 1, 2013 will initially be presumed to be operating only with narrowband emissions (i.e., that the wideband mode has been disabled) after January 1, 2013. (All equipment certified since 1997 has been required to have a 12.5 kHz operational mode. Licensees should check with their radio equipment vendor to determine how to ensure that the equipment is operating in the 12.5 kHz mode.) The Commission also will have discretion to inquire of licensees to verify that they are operating in compliance with the Commission's rules. Licensees of stations that currently are authorized to operate with a bandwidth exceeding 12.5 kHz that have complied or will comply with the narrowbanding deadline by adopting narrowband-equivalent equipment will be required to certify compliance with the deadline. This is necessary because it will not always be apparent from the license's technical parameters whether a 25 kHz station is a non-compliant wideband station or a compliant narrowband-equivalent station. We plan to implement this

(GeoComm note: This unfinished sentence is as it appears in the FCC document - nothing has been deleted.)





4 These 2011 deadlines applicable to manufacturers also are subject of the NPSTC petition for stay. The 6.25 kHz requirement does not apply to hand-held transmitters with an output power of two watts or less. See 47 C.F.R. § 90.203(j)(4).5

Currently, the Commission's rules require frequency coordination for a modification application that proposes to reduce the authorized bandwidth on the licensed center frequencies, see 47 C.F.R. § 90.175, but the Commission has sought comment on exempting such applications from this requirement. See Amendment of Part 90 of the Commission's Rules, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Order, WP Docket No. 07-100, 22 FCC Rcd 9595, 9596-97 ¶ 3 (2007).3 certification requirement by revising a future version of the relevant application form for new, renewed, and modified station licenses to require licensees subject to the narrowbanding mandate to indicate whether or not the requested operations comply with the narrowbanding requirements. The Commission also will have discretion to inquire of licensees regarding existing licenses for which no such certification is received because the license was not renewed or modified before lanuary 1, 2013.

Will the Commission take any other action to remind licensees of the upcoming narrowbanding deadlines?

Yes. In addition to this Public Notice and the measures discussed above, the Commission will in the near future begin placing a special condition on all new, renewed, and modified licenses for stations in the radio services and frequencies subject to the narrowbanding mandate to remind licensees of the January 1, 2013 deadline. The special condition will be removed from the license at some point after the licensee notifies the Commission that the station is in compliance with the narrowbanding deadline, as discussed above.

May a station that does not meet the January I, 2013 narrowbanding deadline operate after that date on a secondary basis?

No. As of January 1, 2013, the Commission's rules will prohibit Industrial/Business and Public Safety Radio Pool licensees in the 150-174 MHz and 421-512 MHz bands from operating with wideband channels (unless their equipment meets the narrowband efficiency standard), even if the license still lists a wideband emission designator. Operation in violation of the omission's rules may subject licensees to enforcement action, including admonishments, monetary forfeitures, and/or license revocation, as appropriate.

Can previously certified multimode equipment be manufactured or imported after January I, 2011?

Yes, under certain circumstances. The equipment certification for previously certified multimode equipment containing a wideband 25 kHz mode will continue to be valid, and such equipment may continue to be manufactured and imported, only if the modes of operation are enabled primarily through software rather than firmware or hardware, and users are not provided with the programming software necessary to activate the wideband 25 kHz mode.





For further information, licensees and frequency coordinators may contact Mr. Melvin Spann of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Mobility Division, (202) 418-1333, Melvin.Spann@fcc.gov, or Mr. Zenji Nakazawa of the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau, Policy Division, (202) 418-7949, Zenji.Nakazawa@fcc.gov; and equipment manufacturers may contact Mr. Andy Leimer of the Office of Engineering and Technology, (301) 362-3049, Andrew.Leimer@fcc.gov.

-FCC-

Conclusion

The current Brown County public safety radio communications systems does not provide countywide coverage. Although the county utilizes multiple transmitter sites; there are significant areas of the county that lack even the most basic radio coverage. Discussions with fire and law enforcement agencies provided evidence of locations within service districts, other than in Green Bay proper, where mobile radios could not reliably communicate with dispatch.

The latest fire regulations recommend that all fire-scene radio communications should be monitored by the dispatch office. In Brown County, it is very rare for fire-scene communications to be able to be monitored by dispatch. In fact, all fire communications, including fire-alert paging, is conducted on a single simplex radio channel. Fire agencies cannot coordinate effectively until they leave Fire Main and switch communications to another unmonitored frequency.

Law enforcement and public safety in the vicinity of Green Bay does fare better with a single-site 800 MHz analog trunked radio system. This system lacks coverage in some areas, but does provide alternate channels or "talk-groups" for effective police communications. However, the limited coverage of a single-site, combined with the unusual terrain of the county, makes using this system outside Green Bay and nearby communities difficult.

Given the lack of repeater equipment, very limited coverage for VHF, channel congestion, and the needs of all agencies for countywide coverage, GeoComm does not believe that there would be a cost effective method of upgrading or expanding the existing VHF radio system to meet the needs of Brown County. Any modifications would require a complete rebuilding of the system, including locating a new and appropriate site, and the more difficult task of locating available VHF radio channels.

The 800 MHz radio system today is an analog radio system that provides limited resources. Encrypted radio traffic, usually vital for covert law enforcement activities, is ineffective and very difficult to operate. The single-site is located on leased property and is very difficult to access for emergency repairs.





The equipment is old and outdated and requires a complete replacement. Like VHF, any activity here would require developing new sites throughout the county.

With these limiting factors in both the VHF and 800 MHz band and the relative congestion of frequencies in those bands, GeoComm believes and recommends that Brown County proceed with a plan to replace all existing radios with a single 700 MHz digital trunked simulcast radio system. The current system, while inadequate, can be left in place while such a new system is installed, making the transition much more orderly than attempting to upgrade any active system. By changing to a single radio band, interoperability throughout the county will be greatly improved. Legacy and surrounding county systems can be readily addressed with crossband interoperability links.